

96-98

From: Michael Anderson
To: Michael Anderson
Date: Fri, Feb 14, 2003 4:33 AM
Subject: Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Wyden

Senator Smith

Representative Walden

Message text follows:

Michael Anderson
2192 W. Evans Creek Rd.
Rogue River, OR 97537

February 14, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Michael G. Anderson

From: Robert Kirkbride
To: Robert Kirkbride
Date: Fri. Feb 14, 2003 2:23 AM
Subject: Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Murray

Senator Cantwell

Representative Larsen

Message text follows:

Robert Kirkbride
2739 Iron Street
Bellingham, WA 98225-2632

February 14, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. **As** a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Robert J Kirkbride

From: Rodney Thayer
To: Rodney Thayer
Date: Fri. Feb 14, 2003 1:21 AM
Subject: Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Feinstein

Senator Boxer

Representative Honda

Message text follows:

Rodney Thayer
1051 Matterhorn CT
Milpitas, CA 95035-6929

February 14,2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here]

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies arent required to allow competitors access to the market. Im also concerned about the Commissions move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Rodney Thayer

From: Eileen Allison
To: Eileen Allison
Date: Fri, Feb 14, 2003 12:17 AM
Subject: Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Lugar
Senator Bayh
Representative Pence
Message text follows:

Eileen Allison
1040 Grenelefe Ct.
Decatur, IN 46733

February 14, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission **is** considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely

Eileen Allison

From: Girard Goldkrantz
To: Girard Goldkrantz
Date: Fri, Feb 14, 2003 4:50 AM
Subject: Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Lautenberg

Senator Corzine

Representative Saxton

Message text follows:

Girard Goldkrantz
6 Paper Mill Rd
Cherry Hill, NJ 08003-1410

February 14, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission **is** considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies arent required to allow competitors access to the market. Im also concerned about the Commissions move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service

Sincerely,

Girard V. Goldkrantz

From: Harry Deel
To: Harry Deel
Date: Fri. Feb 14.2003 12:36 AM
Subject: Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator DeWine

Senator Voinovich

Representative Turner

Message text follows:

Harry Deel
1123 Case ct.
Miamisburg, OH 45342

February 14, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. **As** a constituent, I urge you to **support** competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Harry Deel

From: Andrew Pachmayer
To: Mike Powell. Kathleen Abernathy. Michael Copps. KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein
Date: Fri. Feb 14, 2003 7:59 PM
Subject: UNE-P Rates

Chairman Michael Powell
Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy
Commissioner Michael J. Copps
Commissioner Kevin J. Martin
Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein

Dear Commissioners:

My name is Andrew Pachmayer and I am a first level manager with SBC in Michigan. I manage 20 technicians in a Central Office environment.

I am writing to you today regarding the upcoming decisions you have about the UNE-P rates.

I realize that as I write this it will appear that I have a bias towards the RBOCs based on the fact that I work for one. However, I hope to illustrate my points not only as an employee of an RBOC but also as a consumer.

When I began working in the telecommunications industry I had a very basic understanding as to what it takes to provide dial tone to consumers. Since then I have a much greater understanding and appreciation of each individual component that is involved in providing the consumer with dial tone.

One of my biggest concerns is that the average consumer does not know what it takes to provide dial tone. I have read numerous editorials from individuals that try and claim that competition is finally working in the telecommunications industry. Yet from where I sit I cannot comprehend how competition exists when a company is forced to lease its line to a competitor that does not have to invest any capital in the service. It is still the RBOCs responsibility to maintain the service. In most industries, if a company wants to re-badge a product to sell as their own, they have to negotiate an agreement with the producer of the service so it is beneficial for both parties. This currently does not happen in the telecommunications industry based on the UNE-P structure.

I would like to point out that I am in favor of competition as I feel it will create innovation in the industry. It will also ultimately benefit consumers through not only lower phone bills, but also with better phone service. However, to be able to have innovation in an industry the competition has to be real. The only way this will occur is when CLECs have to make investments into the network in order to provide service. More investment into a network means real options for consumers, more options for consumers mean more innovation in the network, and more innovation in the network means better service for the consumer.

Here are a few questions I would like to ask based on the current UNE-P structure.

1. What incentive does a RBOC have to invest in new technology, for example

fiber to the home, if they will have to turn around and lease their lines to a CLEC at a regulated lease rate?

2. If a consumer chooses to leave a RBOC for a competitor, why is the RBOC required to vacate the facilities within three days, yet if a consumer chooses to leave a CLEC to go back to an RBOC, the CLEC is allowed to keep leasing the line whether it is being used or not?

One example I have is where a small college chose to come back to SBC and the CLEC did not release the facilities back to SBC until we had placed new plant into the ground in order to give the customer service. The UNE-P shows states that as long as the CLEC leases the line they do not have to have service on it and this shows me that if a CLEC can afford to lease a line without actually selling a service on it that the rate is too low.

3 How would you explain to consumers of the RBOCs that are frustrated with their service repair durations when we have to work on our competitors lines first to maintain parity? What other industry is set up where a business cannot work on their own customers services first?

4. Finally how will the FCC respond, if based on the current market conditions that further layoffs are necessary by the RBOCs, which in turn would reduce the workforce of the CLECs? Are the RBOCs going to be fined because they had to let people go due to declining revenue, which in turn could cause longer durations to consumers of the CLECs?

I know that there is a lot of information out there and parties involved when it comes to determining the proper regulatory environment for the telecommunications industry, but please try and consider both the short-term benefits as well as the long-term benefits for the consumer and the industry. I know that I am not an expert but I do feel that real competition needs to occur in order for the industry to rebound. This will ultimately lead to better service and deals for consumers.

Thank you for your time

Andrew C. Pachmayer
apachmayer@hotmail.com

The new MSN 8: advanced junk mail protection and 2 months FREE*
<http://join.msn.com/?page=features/junkmail>

From: Barb Untiedt
To: Barb Untiedt
Date: Fri, Feb 14, 2003 4:46 PM
Subject: Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Grassley

Senator Harkin

Representative King

Message text follows:

Barb Untiedt
P.O. Box 523
Lake Park. IA 51347

February 14,2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies arent required to allow competitors access to the market. Im also concerned about the Commissions move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. **As** a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely

B. Untiedt

From: Barbara Sucher
To: Barbara Sucher
Date: Fri. Feb 14, 2003 6:47 PM
Subject: Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Miller

Senator Chambliss

Representative Isakson

Message text follows:

Barbara Sucher
2803 Spreading Oaks Drive, NW
Acworth, GA 30101-5706

February 14, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Barbara Sucher

From: Bernice Cavall
To: Bernice Cavall
Date: Fri. Feb 14, 2003 2:12 PM
Subject: Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Schumer

Senator Clinton

Representative Maloney

Message text follows:

Bernice Cavall
49 E73 St
NY, NY 10021

February 14, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Bernice Cavall

From: bobby akins
To: bobby akins
Date: Fri, Feb 14, 2003 9:57 AM
Subject: Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Shelby

Senator Sessions

Representative Cramer

Message text follows:

bobby akins
2422 jarvis st
decatur, AL 35603-2689

February 14, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. **As** a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Bobby Akins

From: Bradley Brennen
To: Bradley Brennen
Date: Fri, Feb 14, 2003 7:02 AM
Subject: Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Specter

Senator Santorum

Representative Peterson

Message text follows:

Bradley Brennen
135 Reserve Road
St. Marys. PA 15857

February 14, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Bradley C. Brennen

From: Darrell Howard
To: Darrell Howard
Date: Fri, Feb 14, 2003 12:25 PM
Subject: Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Miller
Senator Chambliss
Representative Deal
Message text follows:

Darrell Howard
P.O. Box 272
Flintstone. **GA** 30725

February 14, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here]

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies arent required to allow competitors access to the market. Im also concerned about the Commissions move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service

Sincerely,

Darrell A. Howard

From: Donald Mains
To: Donald Mains
Date: Fri, Feb 14, 2003 3:06 PM
Subject: Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Grassley

Senator Harkin

Representative Leach

Message text follows:

Donald Mains
815 Dry Run Creek Ct
Hiawatha, IA 52233

February 14, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here]

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. **As** a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service

Sincerely,

Donald C. Mains

From: Douglas Broleman
To: Douglas Broleman
Date: Fri. Feb 14, 2003 10:12 PM
Subject: Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Bond

Senator Talent

Representative Gephardt

Message text follows:

Douglas Broleman
5610 Milentz Avenue
Saint Louis, MO 63109-3526

February 14, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent. I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Douglas Broleman

From: Douglas Sucher
To: Douglas Sucher
Date: Fri, Feb 14, 2003 6:51 PM
Subject: Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Miller

Senator Chambliss

Representative Isakson

Message text follows:

Douglas Sucher
2803 Spreading Oaks Drive, NW
Acworth, **GA** 30101-5706

February 14, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. **As** a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Douglas Sucher

From: Edward Bale
To: Edward Bale
Date: Fri, Feb 14, 2003 4:20 PM
Subject: Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Domenici

Senator Bingaman

Representative Pearce

Message text follows:

Edward Bale
Apt 413, 151 N. Roadrunner Parkway
Las Cruces, NM 88011-7029

February 14,2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

Deregulation of local phone service sounds good but is a potential slippery slope.

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies arent required to allow competitors access to the market. Im also concerned about the Commissions move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Ed. Bale

From: Forrest Roberts
To: Forrest Roberts
Date: Fri. Feb 14, 2003 2:27 PM
Subject: Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Specter
Senator Santorum
Representative Hoeffel
Message text follows:

Forrest Roberts
905 Judie Lane
Ambler, PA 19002

February 14, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission **is** considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices **as** a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Forrest and Linda Roberts

From: Evelyn Asher
To: Evelyn Asher
Date: Fri. Feb 14. 2003 7:28 AM
Subject: Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Miller

Senator Chambliss

Representative Deal

Message text follows:

Evelyn Asher
5325 Raintree Trail
Oakwood, GA 30566

February 14, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Evelyn Asher

From: Frank Palma
To: Frank Palma
Date: Fri, Feb 14, 2003 9:50 AM
Subject: Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Levin

Senator Stabenow

Representative Ehlers

Message text follows:

Frank Palma
12764 So. M-43
Delton, MI 49046

February 14, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Frank Palma